# **Supplementary File-4.** GRADE evidence Level Classification Tables.

Question: Effectiveness of Tranexamic acid for management of patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding.

	Certainty assessment							tients	Eff	ect		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Tranexamic acid	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
Mortali	ity at one mon	ıth										
8	randomized trials	not serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕⊕⊕ High	IMPORTANT
Re-blee	eding in early	period			•					•		
9	randomized trials	not serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕⊕⊕ High	IMPORTANT
Venous	Thromboemb	oolism										
13	randomized trials	not serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	not serious	not serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕⊕⊕ High	IMPORTANT

CI: confidence interval

### **Explanations**

a. Although the majority of existing studies have a high risk of bias, the evidence obtained from the HALT-IT study, which has a low risk of bias, was considered to be reliable because of its large sample size.

Question: Effectiveness of Tranexamic acid for management of patients with trauma.

			Certainty as	ssessment			№ of p	atients	Eff	ect		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Tranexamic acid	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
Mortal	ity within one	-month fo	or multi trauma	patients with	risk or absolu	ıte significant he	morrhage.					
5	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	1599/10998 (14.5%)	1806/11300 (16.0%)	RR 0.90 (0.85 to 0.96)	16 fewer per 1.000 (from 24 fewer to 6 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	IMPORTANT
Vascula	r occlusive ev	ents for i	solated head tra	auma with all	traumatic bra	in injury.						
2	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	188/10717 (1.8%)	174/10708 (1.6%)	RR 1.06 (0.88 to 1.28)	1 more per 1.000 (from 2 fewer to 5 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High	IMPORTANT
Mortal	ity within one	-month fo	or isolated head	trauma with	all traumatic	brain injury.						l
3	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	920/5027 (18.3%)	970/4927 (19.7%)	RR 0.85 (0.62 to 1.17)	30 fewer per 1.000 (from 75 fewer to 33 more)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	IMPORTANT

Vascular occlusive events for isolated head trauma with all traumatic brain injury.

	Certainty assessment							atients	Eff	ect		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Tranexamic acid	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
3	randomized trials	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	82/5045 (1.6%)	93/4941 (1.9%)	<b>RR 0.63</b> (0.25 to 1.58)	7 fewer per 1.000 (from 14 fewer to 11 more)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	IMPORTANT

#### Mortality within one-month for isolated head trauma with low-modarate traumatic brain injury (GCS>8).

1	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>d</sup>	none	166/2846 (5.8%)	207/2769 (7.5%)	<b>RR 0.78</b> (0.64 to 0.95)	16 fewer per 1.000 (from 27 fewer to 4 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	IMPORTANT	
---	----------------------	----------------	-------------	-------------	----------------------	------	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------------------	---	------------------	-----------	--

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

#### **Explanations**

a. Benefit effect has only been shown in patients with mild to moderate TBI.

- b. The confidence interval is wide when pooled data is considered. c. I2 value is 76%
- d. Wide confidence interval

Question: Effectiveness of tranexamic acid for management of patients with non-traumatic intracerebral hemorrhage.

			Certainty as	ssessment			№ of p	atients	Eff	ect		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	[TXA]	[Placebo]	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
90th da	y mRS score<	<3										
4	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	none	427/1389 (30.7%)	412/1390 (29.6%)	RR 1.03 (0.92 to 1.16)	9 more per 1.000 (from 24 fewer to 47 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	IMPORTANT
Hemato	oma growth											
5	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	none	369/1408 (26.2%)	402/1392 (28.9%)	RR 0.91 (0.80 to 1.02)	26 fewer per 1.000 (from 58 fewer to 6 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	IMPORTANT
90th da	y mortality											
5	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	none	292/1410 (20.7%)	282/1393 (20.2%)	RR 1.03 (0.89 to 1.19)	6 more per 1.000 (from 22 fewer to 38 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	IMPORTANT

Thromboembolic events

	Certainty assessment							atients	Eff	ect		
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	[TXA]	[Placebo]	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
5	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	none	45/1410 (3.2%)	41/1393 (2.9%)	<b>RR 1.08</b> (0.71 to 1.63)	2 more per 1.000 (from 9 fewer to 19 more)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	IMPORTANT

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

# Explanations

a. Power lower than 0.80

Question: Effectiveness of tranexamic acid for management of patients with non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage.

	Certainty assessment							atients	Eff	ect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	TXA	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance	
Good n	Good neurological outcome (mRS or GOS)												
6	randomized trials	serious	not serious	serious	serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕○○○ Very low	IMPORTANT	
Re-blee	ding												
6	randomized trials	serious	serious	not serious	serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕○○○ Very low	IMPORTANT	

CI: confidence interval

Question: Effectiveness of tranexamic acid for management of patients with hemoptysis.

			Certainty as	ssessment			№ of patients		Effect				
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	TXA	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance	
Cessati	Cessation of hemoptysis												
4	randomized trials	very serious	not serious	very serious <sup>a</sup>	serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕○○○ Very low		

CI: confidence interval

# **Explanations**

a. Difference in comparisons and intervention protocols.

Question: Effectiveness of tranexamic acid for management of patients with anterior epistaxis.

	Certainty assessment							patients	Ef	fect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Tranexamic acid	Conventional (Placebo or ANP)	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance	
Cessati	Cessation of haemorrhage in early period												
11	randomized trials	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	serious <sup>b</sup>	not serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	IMPORTANT	
Advers	Side Effects												
9	randomized trials	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	not serious	none			not pooled	see comment	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	IMPORTANT	

CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

#### **Explanations**

a. There are different results between large sample studies and others.b. Differences in comparisons and interventions.